

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignina 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/647,841	10/05/2000	Ilga Winicov	5475-US	4329	
75	590 09/25/2003				
Richard R. Mybeck			EXAMINER		
Post Office Box Scottsdale, AZ			BAUM, STUART F		_
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1638 DATE MAILED: 09/25/2003	15	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/647,841	WINICOV, ILGA			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
-	Stuart F. Baum	1638			
The MAILING DATE of this communication					
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, or if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by second and the provided period for reply will, by second patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply b n. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS ( statute, cause the application to become ABANDO	to e timely filed  I days will be considered timely.  I from the mailing date of this communication.  ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>28 July 2003</u> .				
2a)☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠	This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application	ation.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-12 is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction are	nd/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority docum					
2. Certified copies of the priority docum		<del></del>			
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
14)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom					
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	provisional application has been	received.			
Attachment(s)					
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No</li> </ol>	5) Notice of Inform	nary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Offic	e Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 15			

Application/Control Number: 09/647,841 Page 2

Art Unit: 1638

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-13 are pending.

2. Applicant's election of Group II, claim 13 including SEQ ID NO:1, in Paper No. 14, filed 7/28/2003 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

The requirement is made FINAL.

Claims 1-12 are withdrawn from consideration for being drawn to non-elected inventions.

3. Claim 13 is examined in the present office action.

# Specification/Claim Objection

- 4. Objection is made to the specification and claim 13 for not incorporating SEQ ID NO's when referring to nucleic acid sequences. 37 CFR 1.821(d) requires the use of the assigned sequence identifier (e.g. SEQ I.D. NO: X) in all instances where the description or claims of a patent application discuss sequences. Applicant is reminded that the drawings and Brief Description of Drawings also has to comply with the above regulation. Correction is required.
- 5. Applicant should amend the first line of the specification (37 CFR 1.78(a)(1)(iii)) to indicate the claimed priority. Amending the specification to recite: --This application is a

National Stage of International Application No. PCT/US99/07902, filed on August 4, 1999, under 35 U.S.C. §371, which claims the benefit under 35 U.S.C. §119(e) of U.S. Provisional Application 60/128,083, filed July 4, 1999, and U.S. Provisional Application 60/081,348, filed August 4, 1998.-- will obviate the rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

6. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 13, replace "shown" with --set forth-- to specifically claim Applicants' invention.

### Utility Rejection

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

7. Claim 13 is directed to non-statutory subject matter. This rejection is made because the claims are drawn to "An Alfalfa MsPRPs promoter" which does not indicate that the "hand of

man" was involved in the invention. Amending the claim to recite "isolated" will obviate the rejection.

### Enablement

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

8. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

The claimed invention is not supported by an enabling disclosure taking into account the Wands factors. In re Wands, 858/F.2d 731, 8 USPQ2d 1400 (Fed. Cir. 1988). In re Wands lists a number of factors for determining whether or not undue experimentation would be required by one skilled in the art to make and/or use the invention. These factors are: the quantity of experimentation necessary, the amount of direction or guidance presented, the presence or absence of working examples of the invention, the nature of the invention, the state of the prior art, the relative skill of those in the art, the predictability or unpredictability of the art, and the breadth of the claim.

Claim 13 is drawn to an Alfalfa MsPRP2 promoter whose sequence is shown in SEQ ID NO:1.

Application/Control Number: 09/647,841

Art Unit: 1638

Applicant has not reduced to practice the invention. Applicant presents the putative promoter sequence as SEQ ID NO:1 (page 4, line 19, Figure 4), but does not describe the isolation and verification of the promoter sequence. Applicant has only prophetically described the use of this sequence to specifically express the *Alfin1* transgene in alfalfa (page 19, lines 20-24; See also page 12 for alfalfa transformation) but Applicant has not demonstrated that the recited sequence contains all the necessary elements to specifically express genes of interest in root tissue of alfalfa or in roots of any other plant species.

Heterologous promoters do not always produce the same expression pattern in different species of plants and as such produce unexpected results. Oommenn et al (1994, The Plant Cell 6:1789-1803) teach that the alfalfa isoflavone reductase promoter exhibits a different expression pattern in tobacco as compared to the expression in alfalfa. In tobacco, the alfalfa isoflavone reductase promoter expressed in vegetative tissues and in reproductive organs whereas the same construct only expressed in the root meristem, cortex and nodules of alfalfa plants (abstract).

Using a piece of a promoter that does not contain the full complement of cis-acting elements, will <u>not</u> produce the expected expression profile as observed using the whole promoter fragment. Kagaya et al (1995, Mol. Gen. Genet. 248:668-674) teach the rice chloroplastic aldolase promoter contains two elements, one of which acts as a negative element while the other acts as a positive element that confers developmentally regulated mesophyll cell specific expression. Removal of either of these regions changes the normal expression pattern (page 670, left column). Kagaya et al also teach that the promoter contains an element that serves as a target for light induction (abstract).

Given the limited guidance in the instant specification, it is not clear how one skilled in the art would use the claimed sequence to express heterologous nucleic acid sequences encoding polypeptides. Because of the lack of guidance, it is unclear in which tissues the claimed sequence directs expression or if the claimed sequence directs any expression at all. Before using the claimed sequence, one skilled in the art would have to transform a multitude of plants with Applicant's sequence and evaluate the expression profile of the claimed sequence. This procedure requires analyzing hundreds of plants, from many plant families whose transformation has not been taught in the instant application. Because of the lack of guidance as stated previously, and given the state of the art as reported above, undue experimentation would be required by one skilled in the art before the claimed sequence could be used.

- 9. Claim 13 is deemed free of the prior art, given the failure of the prior art to teach or reasonably suggest an isolated polynucleotide of SEQ ID NO:1.
- 10. No claims are allowed.
- 11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stuart F. Baum whose telephone number is 703-305-6997. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amy Nelson can be reached on 703-306-3218. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

Stuart F. Baum Ph.D.

September 15, 2003

AMY J. NELSON, PH.D SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600